IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

O R D E R

(1) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5545/2006
(Smt. Heeramani Soni Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(2) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5537/2006
(Smt. Kalawati Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(3) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5528/2006
(Smt. Kamla Bai Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(4) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5548/2006
(Smt. Ganga Bai Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(5) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5549/2006
(Santosh Kumari Solanki Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(6) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5539/2006
(Jaishree Pandya Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(7) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5530/2006
(Smt. Bhagwati Devi Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(8) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5546/2006
(Smt. Shanti Devi Kharole Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(9) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5557/2006
(Smt. Gulab Devi Sharma Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(10) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5534/2006
(Smt. Gyan Devi Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(11) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5560/2006
(Santosh Chouhan Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(12) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5542/2006
(Smt. Sumitra Devi Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(13) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5529/2006
(Suresh Yadav Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(14) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5531/2006
(Smt. Sukhjeet Kaur Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

(15) S.B. CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 5554/2006
(Smt. Kamlesh Bhatia Vs. Union of India & Ors.)

Date of Order : 25/01/2007

PRESENT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.R.PANWAR

Mr. Shyam S. Khatri for the petitioners.
Mr. Girish Sankhla for the respondents.

BY THE COURT:-

By all these writ petitions under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have challenged the order dated 01.09.2006 passed by the respondents. However, during pendency of the writ petitions, applications being I.A. No.351, 352, 352, 354, 359, 360, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369 and 448 of 2007 have been filed on behalf of respondents No. 1,2, 4 and 5 by which it has been submitted that the services of the petitioners were terminated by the State Board vide order dated 1.9.2006 because of closure of the projects on 1.4.2005 due to duplicity of programme, financial crisis, non-availability of work and closing of the scheme. However, the State Govt. while taking a liberal view, took a policy decision looking to the longevity of service of the total 85 project employees and vide order dated 9.10.2006 (Annex.R-4/1), the State Govt. informed the respondent State Board that project employees as being surplus employees of the projects of the State Board have been granted sanction for sending them on

deputation on the basis of secondment on their existing pay-scale in different departments of the State of Rajasthan. The petitioners have already been sent on deputation on the basis of secondment in different Government departments and the petitioners have already been relieved vide orders dated 13.11.2006 & 23.12.2006 (Annex.R-4/2) and joined their duty in the concerned departments.

From the perusal of the Orders dated 13.11.2006 and 23.12.2006 Annex.R-4/2 it appears that the petitioners have been sent on deputation to Office of the Project Manager, Anuja, Zila Parishad (Rural Development) and Primary Health Centers, Superintendent, Nari Niketan, Upchari Balika Grah, Samprashan Grah located at various places mentioned in the orders and therefore, learned counsel for the respondents submits that nothing survives in the writ petitions and have become infructuous. Learned counsel for the petitioners did not dispute the orders Annex.R-4/2 by which the petitioners have been sent to the departments of the State Government.

In this view of the matter, since the petitioners have already been sent on deputation by the orders of the State Government vide orders Annex.R-4/2, meaning thereby that the departments to which the petitioners have been sent on deputation will be the employer for them and pay and allowances will be paid by the concerned departments to which the

petitioners have been sent on deputation, and the petitioners have already been relieved by the respondent State Board, therefore, nothing survives in the writ petitions.

Accordingly, the writ petitions stand disposed of. The applications being I.A. No. 351, 352, 352, 354, 359, 360, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369 and 448 of 2007 also stand disposed of. Interim orders stand vacated. There shall no no order as to costs.

(H.R.PANWAR),J.

rp